

Administrative Determination
Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance and NEPA Adequacy (DNA)
U.S. Department of the Interior - Bureau of Land Management
Anchorage Field Office

A. Describe the Proposed Action

A section of the Lore Road Trail on Campbell Tract, approximately 375 yards, needs to be moved to the south approximately 75 yards. The existing trail head and junction with the Coyote Trail will remain intact. General recreationists use the trail as well as specific interest groups such as equestrians and mountain bikers. Currently this section of the trail is adjacent to a chain link fence surrounding the BLM maintenance yard. There are three concerns with this alignment: security of government property, public safety, and the visual impact for the trail user. By moving the section of trail approximately 75 yards south, all three concerns will be alleviated. The proposed trail will involve minimal disturbance of soil and vegetation and trimming of some tree branches that may be hazardous to horse riders. The proposed trail will be approximately three feet in width and contour around large trees. Once the proposed trail is established, the old section of trail will be closed by placing tree limbs, leaves and other miscellaneous natural features on the trail to discourage use. The Lore Trail is located in T. 12 N., R. 3 W., Section 3, SW¹/₄.

B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

LUP Name: Southcentral Management Framework Plan Date Approved: March 1980
Other document: A Management Plan for Public Use and Resource Management on the
Bureau of Land Management Campbell Tract Facility. Date Approved: June 1988

The Proposed Action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions (objectives, terms, and conditions):

Activity Objectives: Recreation (R-3) and Wildlife (WL-4).

C. Identify applicable NEPA documents and other related documents that cover the Proposed Action.

EA-AK-040-8025: Public Use and Resource Management Plan for the Campbell Tract Facility. The document is on file at the Anchorage Field Office.

Administrative Determination (Cont'd.)
Document No.: AK-040-AD00-022

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

- 1. Is the current Proposed Action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed? Is the current Proposed Action located at a site specifically analyzed in an existing document?**

Yes. The proposed activities are either the same or substantially the same activities as described in the above listed EA.

- 2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current Proposed Action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?**

Yes. Additional alternatives beyond the No Action Alternative were not viewed as necessary at the time of analysis.

- 3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances?**

Yes, the circumstances have not changed.

- 4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current Proposed Action?**

Yes. The approach used in the previous document is appropriate. The analysis in the document is similar to what would be appropriate for the Proposed Action.

- 5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document analyze site-specific impacts related to the current Proposed Action?**

Yes, the impacts of the current Proposed Action are unchanged from the existing NEPA documentation. The above listed environmental assessment examined the operation plans for the specific site in question. The Proposed Action is substantially the same Proposed Action analyzed in EA-AK-040-8025. Thus, the site specific analysis is substantially the same. No additional direct or indirect impacts have been identified for the Proposed Action.

- 6. Are the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?**

Yes. There are no new cumulative impacts as this trail is a relocation of an existing trail.

Administrative Determination (Cont'd.)

Document No.: AK-040-AD00-022

7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current Proposed Action?

Yes, Intra-agency consultation has been completed. The Proposed Action does not warrant additional public involvement.

E. Interdisciplinary Analysis: Identify those team members conducting or participating in the NEPA analysis and preparation of this worksheet.

Name

Bruce Seppi

Donna Redding

Jeff Denton

Title

Wildlife Biologist

Archaeologist

Subsistence Biologist

F. Conclusion

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the Proposed Action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

/s/ Nick Douglas
Anchorage Field Manager

(06-30-00)
Date